EXHIBIT 248

```
Page 2
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
           FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                 SHERMAN DIVISION
3
     THE STATE OF TEXAS,
     et al.,
4
       Plaintiffs,
5
                     ) CASE NO. 4:20-cv-00957-SD
     VS.
6
     GOOGLE LLC.
7
       Defendant.
8
9
               30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF
10
                  JEFF PICKETT
            ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF ALASKA
11
12
                  MAY 3, 2024
13
14
       ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JEFF PICKETT, via
     Zoom, produced as a witness at the instance of the
15
16
     Defendant and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled
17
     and numbered cause on the 3rd day of May, 2024, from
     12:15 p.m. to 3:03 p.m. Alaska Standard Time, before
18
19
     Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for
     the State of Texas, reported by computerized stenotype
20
     machine, all parties appearing remotely via web
21
22
     videoconference, pursuant to the rules of procedure and
23
     the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.
24
25
        Job No. CS6655711
```

		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES (ALL APPEARED VIA ZOOM VIDEO CONFERENCE.)	
3	FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA:	
4	Mr. lan Engelbeck ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE	
5 6	1031 W. 4th Avenue, Suite 200 Anchorage, Alaska 99501	
7	Telephone: 907.269.5283 E-mail: ian.engelbeck@alaska.gov	
8	FOR THE STATE OF TEXAS:	
10	Mr. Jonathan Wilkerson Mr. Alex Henthorn	
11	THE LANIER LAW FIRM, PC 10940 W. Sam Houston Parkway N., Suite 100 Houston, Texas 77064	
12	Telephone: 713.659.5200	
13 14	E-mail: jonathan.wilkerson@lanierlawfirm.com	
15	FOR GOOGLE LLC:	
16	Ms. Claire Leonard Mr. Robert John McCallum Mr. Ross Svenson	
17	Ms. Gabriella Small FRESHFIELDS BRUCKHAUS DERINGER US LLP	
18	700 13th Street NW Washington, D.C. 20005	
19	Telephone: 202.777.4500	
20 21 22	E-mail: claire.leonard@freshfields.com	
23	ALSO PRESENT: Mark Cadena, Videographer Dan Acosta, Document Technician	
24 25		

Page 21 1 of Trade Act we need to be able to show that an entity 2 violated one of the sort of statutory provisions that 3 sort of define, you know, antitrust conduct. Q. So what, if any, requirement for -- strike 4 that. 5 6 Does Alaska have a requirement for specific injury to Alaskans before it will sign on to a 7 litigation like this? 8 9 A. I mean, it really is case dependent. If conduct, you know, is unfair or deceptive or if it 10 violates our antitrust laws, we are authorized by our 11 legislature to bring a lawsuit. 12 13 Q. So there doesn't necessarily have to be -- oh, I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt. 14 A. Yes. That's what we look for, one of the 15 things we look for. 16 17 Q. So it doesn't -- strike that. 18 There doesn't necessarily have to be a 19 finding of potential harm to Alaskans prior to signing 20 on to a lawsuit like this on behalf of the State of 21 Alaska? 22 A. Well, I would say that like -- just to use a 23 hypothetical, if conduct in Florida violated our 24 Consumer Protection Act and it only impacted Floridians, 25 you know, yeah, we would not bring -- we would not file

Page 41 1 not envisioning a specific document. You know, it's 2 perhaps something that escapes my imagination exists. 3 Q. Okay. So sitting here now, you don't have an idea of a type of document or piece of information that 4 would bear on the harm alleged in the complaint that you 5 6 haven't handed over to us? A. So, again, I mean, our focus for state -- our 7 claims that we're asserting on behalf of the State is on 8 9 Google's conduct. To the extent that Google has engaged 10 in an unfair deceptive trade practice or acted in a way 11 to maintain a monopoly or create one, you know, 12 liability is established. And then it's just a matter 13 of calculating penalties. 14 So to answer your specific question, it has to be placed in the context of how we've developed 15 16 our case and how we think about it. 17 So, again, you know, it's -- I'm not in a 18 position to be able to answer one way or another whether 19 there actually are specific documents that may touch 20 upon harm that Alaskans have suffered, documents not in the form of consumer complaint but may be in our 21 22 possession, custody and control. I just don't know the 23 answer. 24 Q. Okay. So Alaska alleges that Google's conduct 25 harmed Alaska's general welfare and economy. Is that

Page 42 right? 1 2 A. Yes, that is correct. 3 Q. And what factual basis does Alaska have to show 4 that alleged harm to Alaska's general welfare and economy? 5 6 A. So here this is one of the questions that we're 7 relying on Texas' designated 30(b)(6) deponent, Mr. Gordon, to answer. So anything that has a factual 8 9 basis, we've designated Mr. Gordon for that, to provide 10 those answers. 11 Okay. So Alaska is relying on Texas for the 12 harm to Alaska's general welfare and economy. Is that 13 right? 14 A. Yes, in this sense, in this sense. So Google's conduct, its technology is sort of universal, I would 15 16 say, or that's my understanding, universal in the sense 17 that its products reach all 50 states, territories, you 18 know, obviously around the world as well. But, anyway, 19 with respect to this lawsuit, what concerns Alaska is 20 the fact that tech reaches into Alaska. 21 I think Mr. Gordon testified as to how 22 that tech is harmful to publishers and advertisers. So 23 for the reasons he provided, you know, that would be the 24 factual basis for Alaska's, you know, complaint as well 25 or for its allegation that our general economy has been

```
Page 83
1
            IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
            FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
                 SHERMAN DIVISION
3
     THE STATE OF TEXAS,
     et al.,
4
        Plaintiffs,
5
     VS.
                     ) CASE NO. 4:20-cv-00957-SD
6
     GOOGLE LLC,
7
        Defendant.
8
                REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
10
             ORAL DEPOSITION OF JEFF PICKETT
                   May 3, 2024
11
12
13
        I, Melinda Barre, Certified Shorthand Reporter in
14
     and for the State of Texas, hereby certify to the
15
     following:
        That the witness, JEFF PICKETT, was duly sworn by
16
17
     the officer and that the transcript of the oral
18
     deposition is a true record of the testimony given by
19
     the witness;
20
        That the original deposition was delivered to
21
     Claire Leonard.
22
        That a copy of this certificate was served on all
23
     parties and/or the witness shown herein
     on May 6, 2024.
24
25
        I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule
```

		Page 84
1	30(f)(1), that the signature of the deponent:	
2	was requested by the deponent or a party before	
3	the completion of the deposition and that the signature is	
4	to be before any notary public and returned within 30 days	
5	from date of receipt of the transcript. If returned,	
6	the attached Changes and Signature Page contains any	
7	changes and the reasons therefor:	
8	was not requested by the deponent or a	
9	party before the completion of the deposition.	
10	I further certify that I am neither counsel for,	
11	related to, nor employed by any of the parties or	
12	attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was	
13	taken, and further that I am not financially or	
14	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.	
15	Certified to by me on this, the 6th day	
16	of May, 2024.	
17		
18		
19		
20	<%19155,Signature%>	
21	Melinda Barre	
	Texas CSR 2192	
22	Expiration: 12/31/25	
23		
24		
25		